

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,362	06/22/2001	Patrick J. Muraca	5568/1012	8909
29932	7590 10/15/2003		EXAMINER	
	& DODGE, LLP	SPIEGLER, ALEXANDER H		
PAULA CAMPBELL EVANS 111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, N	ИА 02199		1637	
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/888,362	MURACA, PATRICK J.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander H. Spiegler	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The present of the section of the	1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require furthe	·	ee NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ig a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 35-65</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-34 and 6	<u>6-69</u> .					
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	ı) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
•						

Continuation She t (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Applicant's have amended Claim 1 to recite, "providing a tissue microarray comprising a cooling chamber", which was not previously required by the claims. Specifically, the previous claims did not require the limitation of "a cooling chamber". This new limitation would necessarily require a new search for a tissue microarray comprising "a cooling chamber", as well as, requiring further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons of record, and, in view of the non-entry of the amendment filed on September 10, 2003. Additionally, Applicants arguments are not persuasive because the arguments are directed to limitations not recited in the currently pending claims, as the after-final amendment has not been entered.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander H. Spiegler whose telephone number is (703) 305-0806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner in charge of the prosecution of this case, Carla Myers, can b reached at (703) 308-2199. If attempts to reach Carla Myers are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Applicant is also invited to contact the TC 1600 Customer Service Hotline at (703) 308-0198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Alexander H. Spiegler October 10, 2003

> GARY BENZION, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600